// Signed 2/5/99 //

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Interim-Final Guidance for RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicators

FROM: Elizabeth Cotsworth, Acting Director Office of Solid Waste

TO: RCRA Senior Policy Managers Regions I-X

The RCRA corrective action program and achievement of its Government Performance Results Act (GPRA) goals are of highest priority for the national RCRA program. The RCRA program is using two Environmental Indicators (EI) to measure program performance for GPRA purposes: (1) Current Human Exposures Under Control (CA725), and (2) Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control (CA750).

With this memorandum I am transmitting revised guidance on how to determine if a facility has met the RCRA corrective action Environmental Indicators (EI). This Interim-Final guidance will replace the existing EI guidance (from 1994 and 1995) and will remain the working guidance for at least one year. The Interim-Final guidance is similar to the earlier guidance but has been modified to facilitate more consistent determinations (across regions and states) and to be more explicit with regard to the minimum level of documentation required to ensure that the determinations will be verifiable.

This guidance has been developed with the cooperation and input of representatives from all ten EPA regions and at least one state from each region. The guidance is in the form of questions to be answered in making an EI determination. The questions and answer options express the minimum criteria for EI determinations and are not to be modified for regional, state or site-specific conditions. The "Rationale" portion of the forms can be filled in to explain unique situations to any length necessary. While the signed hard-copies of these forms should reside in the facility's administrative files, these forms should also be kept in electronic format that can be posted on an "EI database" web site to be developed by the Office of Solid Waste in the near future. The "EI database" will help communicate successes and provide examples for overcoming barriers to progress.

Thank you for your assistance with this important effort. If you have any questions, please call Bob Hall or Henry Schuver of my staff at (703) 308-8432 or 308-8656 respectively.

Attachment

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility	Name:	
Facility	Address:	
Facility	EPA ID #:	
1.	groundwater, si	e relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, urface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Wastenits (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this n?
		If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
		if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be **"contaminated"**¹ above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>?</u>	Rationale / Key Conta	<u>aminants</u>
Groundwater						
Air (indoors) 2						_
Surface Soil (e.g.	, <2 ft)					
Surface Water	,					
Sediment						•
Subsurf. Soil (e.g	>2 ft)					_
Air (outdoors)	,,,, = 10, -					
rin (outdoors)						
	appropr	riate "lev		reference	nd enter "YE," status code after pring sufficient supporting documed.	
	"contam determi	ninated": nation th	medium,	citing a	fter identifying key contaminants ppropriate "levels" (or provide ar ould pose an unacceptable risk), a	explanation for the
	If unkno	own (for	any med	ia) - skip	to #6 and enter "IN" status code.	
Pationalo and Po	foroncols	١.				
Kationale and Ke.	ierence(s	,				
:						

Footnotes:

- ¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).
- ²Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential Human Receptors (Unde	ler Current Conditions
--	------------------------

"Contaminated" Me	Residents Workers Day-Care Construction Trespassers Recreation Food ³
Groundwater	<u> </u>
Air (indoors)	
Soil (surface, e.g., <2	2 ft)
Surface Water	
Sediment	
Soil (subsurface e.g.,	>2 ft)
Air (outdoors)	
Instructions for <u>Sum</u>	mary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:
	ut specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not ated") as identified in #2 above.
	es" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human ombination (Pathway).
Media - Human Rece	us the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" eptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these ot be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be
ski pla co:	no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - ip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in ace, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each ntaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze ajor pathways).
	yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor mbination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
	unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 d enter "IN" status code
Rationale and Refere	ence(s):
3 In diment Detheron /I	Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)
~ munect raniway/I	Acceptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) ${\it Page}~4$

"significant" greater in mag acceptable "le (perhaps even	sures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) gnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the vels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the vels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" statu code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
Rationale and	If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code Reference(s):

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 5

Can th	"significant" (exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?
	cont why	es (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits tinue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justify all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (c-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
	cont	o (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unaccep tinue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potent acceptable" exposure.
		nknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "I us code
Ration	le and Referen	nce(s):
Ration	le and Referen	nce(s):
Rationa	le and Referen	nce(s):
Rationa	le and Referen	nce(s):
Rationa	le and Referen	nce(s):
Rationa	le and Referen	nce(s):
Ration	le and Referen	nce(s):
Ration	le and Referen	nce(s):
Ration	le and Referen	nce(s):
Ration	le and Referen	nce(s):
Ration	le and Referen	nce(s):
Ration	le and Referen	nce(s):
Ration	le and Referen	nce(s):
Ration	le and Referen	nce(s):
Ration	le and Referen	nce(s):
Ration	le and Referen	nce(s):

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 6

	ropriate supporting documentation	nager) signature and date on the EI determinas well as a map of the facility):	ilution b
	review of the information contain Exposures" are expected to be "U		an
		facility, EPA ID at under curr	
	#, located	at under curr his determination will be re-evaluated who	ent and
	Agency/State becomes aware of s		en me
	NO - "Current Human Exposure	es" are NOT "Under Control."	
	IN - More information is neede	d to make a determination.	
6 1 1		-	
Completed by	(signature) (print)	Date	
	(title)		
Supervisor	(signature)	Date	
	(print)		
	(title) (EPA Region or State)		
	(Li 11 legion of State)		
Locations where	e References may be found:		
Contact telephor	ne and e-mail numbers		
(nama)			
(name) (phone	#/	-	

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

	Name: Address: EPA ID #:	
1.	groundwater me	relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the dia, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units ted Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?
		If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
		if data are not available, skip to #8 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

Is groundwater known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" ¹ above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility:
If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.
If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."
If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
Rationale and Reference(s):

Footnotes:

¹"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Page 3

expected to rem	nain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring nated at the time of this determination)?
	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²).
	If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination"2) - skip #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
Rationale and F	Reference(s):
-	

² "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

Doe	es "contaminated" groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?
	If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.
	If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
Rat	cionale and Reference(s):

appropriate gro discharging con	entration ³ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their nundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of staminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for apacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?
	If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1 maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ³ of <u>key</u> contaminants dischabove their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of profes judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unaccept impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.
	If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potential significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ³ of <u>each</u> contaminant discharged above its groundwater "let the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentration increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrate greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total at (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) int surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.
	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.
Rationale and R	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.
Rationale and R	

³ As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

 (i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed to til a final remedy decision can be made and implemented⁴)? If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR
conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation
2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, ⁵ appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination. If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.
If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.
ad Reference(s):

⁴ Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵ The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

	Fill groundwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data ecessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within prizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater".		
	If yes - continue after providing or citing sampling/measurement events. Specific which will be tested in the future to verif groundwater contamination will not be no beyond the "existing area of groundwater."	ally identify the well/measurement by the expectation (identified in #3) the migrating horizontally (or vertically,	locatior hat
	If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.		
	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8	3.	
Rationa	e and Reference(s):		
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
			-
			-
			-

EI (event code C	CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or	Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under C appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI ing documentation as well as a map of the facility).
	verified. Based on a review of determination, it has been dete Groundwater" is "Under Continuation of a determination of and that monitoring will be congroundwater remains within the This determination will be resignificant changes at the facility	minated Groundwater Under Control" has been the information contained in this EI rmined that the "Migration of Contaminated rol" at the, EPA ID #, located Specifically, this determination "contaminated" groundwater is under control, inducted to confirm that contaminated he "existing area of contaminated groundwater" evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of the contaminated groundwater is observed or expect of contaminated groundwater is observed or expect
	IN - More information is need	ed to make a determination.
Completed by	(signature) (print) (title)	Date
Supervisor	(signature) (print) (title)	Date
(EPA Region or	State)	
Locations where	References may be found:	
Contact telepho	ne and e-mail numbers	
,	#)	